

Predicate Calculus

Jim Woodcock
University of York
October 2006

Universal properties

- language of propositions allows us to model a great variety of properties about specific objects
 - “Every cloud has a silver lining”
- these general properties are known as universal properties
- they describe properties that must be satisfied by every individual in some universe of discourse
- in our little example
 - universe: the set of all clouds
 - every one of them has a silver lining

Examples: universal properties

The following are all examples of universal properties:

1. Every cloud has a silver lining.
2. All the bells in heaven shall ring.
3. Each student must hand in homework.
4. Nobody knows the trouble I seen.
5. Roses are red.
6. Jim doesn't know **anybody** who can sign his bail application.

Existential properties

- sometimes we want to express the fact that at least one thing has a particular property
- without necessarily knowing which thing
- this is known as an existential property

Example

the following are all examples of existential properties:

1. **something's** got into the tank
2. **there is** a tavern in the town
3. **i** heard it from **one of your** friends
4. **a** mad dog has bitten **gerald**
5. **some** people prefer logic

Symbols

- two new symbols
- "∀" is the universal quantifier
- read "for all"
- "∃" is the existential quantifier
- read "there exists"
- quantifier symbols are used to build **predicates**
- a predicate is like a proposition with various "slots" to be filled in by objects of various kinds

Example

- existentially quantified predicate: " $\exists x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x > 5$ "
- "there is an x , such that $x > 5$ "
- " $x > 5$ " is not a proposition
- we can't tell its truth value without knowing x , a "slot"
- when we supply a value for x we get a proposition
- " $0 > 5$ " is clearly false
- " $\exists x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x > 5$ " is true
- since 6 will do for x

Example: programming languages

- predicates are propositions with free variables in them
- they occur commonly in programming languages
 - if $x > 3$ then $y := z$
- includes the predicate " $x > 3$ ".
- when the statement is executed, the truth value of the assertion " $x > 3$ " is determined using the current value of the variable x
- the assertion is assigned either the value 1 (representing true) or 0 (representing false)

Examples

1. Let *Cloud* be the set of all clouds, and let *SilverLining*(*x*) mean that *x* has a silver lining.

$$\forall c : \text{Cloud} \bullet \text{SilverLining}(c)$$

2. Let *HolyBell* be the set of all bells in heaven, and let *Ring*(*x*) mean that *x* shall ring.

$$\forall b : \text{HolyBell} \bullet \text{Ring}(b)$$

3. Let *Student* stand for the set of all students, and *Submit*(*x*) mean that *x* must hand in homework.

$$\forall s : \text{Student} \bullet \text{Submit}(s)$$

4. Let *Person* be the set of all people, and let *K*(*x*) mean that *x* knows the trouble I seen.

$$\forall p : \text{Person} \bullet \neg K(p)$$

5. Let *Rose* be the set of all roses, and *Red*(*x*) mean that *x* is red.

$$\forall r : \text{Rose} \bullet \text{Red}(r)$$

6. Let *Person* be the set of all people, and let *x* *Knows* *y* mean that *x* knows *y*, and *x* *CanVouchFor* *y* mean that *x* can sign *y*'s bail application.

$$\forall p : \text{Person} \bullet \text{Jim Knows } p \Rightarrow \neg (p \text{ CanVouchFor } \text{Jim})$$

This may also be written as

$$\forall p : \text{Person} \mid \text{Jim Knows } p \bullet \neg (p \text{ CanVouchFor } \text{Jim})$$

Examples

1. Let *Thing* stand for the set of all things, and *InTank*(*x*) mean that *x* is in the tank.

$$\exists x : \text{Thing} \bullet \text{InTank}(x)$$

2. Let *Tavern* stand for the set of all taverns, and *InTown*(*x*) mean that *x* is in the town.

$$\exists t : \text{Tavern} \bullet \text{InTown}(t)$$

3. Let *Friends* stand for the set of all your friends, and *x* *Told* *y* mean that *x* has told *y*.

$$\exists f : \text{Friends} \bullet f \text{ Told } me$$

4. Let *MadDog* stand for the set of all mad dogs, and *x* *Bit* *y* mean that *x* has bitten *y*.

$$\exists fido : \text{MadDog} \bullet fido \text{ Bit } \text{Gerald}$$

5. Let *Person* stand for the set of all people, and *PL*(*x*) mean that *x* prefers logic.

$$\exists p : \text{Person} \bullet \text{PL}(p)$$

Existential quantification as disjunction

existential quantification may be thought of as a generalised form of disjunction:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x > 5 \\ \Leftrightarrow \\ (0 > 5) \vee (1 > 5) \vee (2 > 5) \vee (3 > 5) \vee \dots \end{aligned}$$

the predicate must be true for some natural number

Universal quantification as conjunction

universal quantification may be thought of as a generalised form of conjunction

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x > 5 \\ \text{this is the same as} \\ \forall y : \mathbb{N} \bullet y > 5 \\ \forall x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x > 5 \\ \Leftrightarrow \\ (0 > 5) \wedge (1 > 5) \wedge (2 > 5) \wedge (3 > 5) \wedge \dots \end{aligned}$$

it must be true for every natural number.

Syntax

quantifiers share a similar syntax

- ♣ $x : s \mid p \bullet q$
- ♣ is the quantifier;
- x is the bound variable;
- s is the range of x ;
- p is the (optional) constraint; and
- q is the predicate.

two syntactic equivalences explain the constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists x : s \mid p \bullet q & \text{ is a shorthand for } \exists x : s \bullet p \wedge q \\ \forall x : s \mid p \bullet q & \text{ is a shorthand for } \forall x : s \bullet p \Rightarrow q \end{aligned}$$

More syntax

the existentially quantified predicate

$$\exists x : s \mid p \bullet q$$

is pronounced "there exists an x in s satisfying p , such that q "
the universally quantified predicate

$$\forall x : s \mid p \bullet q$$

is pronounced "for all x in s satisfying p , q holds"

Bound variables

- each quantifier introduces a "bound variable"
- like local variable in block-structured programming language
- in " $\forall x : s \mid p \bullet q$ ", the bound variable has a scope that is exactly the constraint p and predicate q

$$\forall x : s \mid \underbrace{p \bullet q}_{\substack{\text{scope} \\ \text{of } x}}$$

Example

the following predicates are formed by universal quantification:

- $\forall x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x < x + 1$
- "every natural number x is less than $x + 1$ "
- $\forall x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x = 3$
- "every natural number x is equal to 3"
- the first predicate is true, but the second isn't

Binding power

- quantifiers bind very loosely, so
 - $\forall x : s \bullet p \wedge q$
 is implicitly parenthesised as " $\forall x : s \bullet (p \wedge q)$ ", and not as " $(\forall x : s \bullet p) \wedge q$ ".
- predicates such as " $x > 3$ " contain a variable which is yet to be bound by a quantifier: namely, " x ".
- such yet-to-be-bound variables are called free variables

Example

- suppose A is an integer array with 50 entries
- A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{50}
- assert that all entries are nonzero
 - $\forall i : \mathbb{N} \bullet 1 \leq i \leq 50 \Rightarrow A_i \neq 0$
- assert entries are sorted in nondecreasing order
 - $\forall i : \mathbb{N} \bullet 1 \leq i < 50 \Rightarrow A_i \leq A_{i+1}$

Example

for every number x and every number y , $x + y$ is greater than or equal to x

$$\forall x: \mathbb{N} \bullet \forall y: \mathbb{N} \bullet x + y \geq x$$

Substitution

- suppose p is a predicate containing free variable x
- " $\forall x: S \bullet p$ ": asserts p is true for every x
- specific theorem obtained by substituting term t for x
- substitution is precise
- we write $p[t/x]$ to denote p with term t substituted for x
- read as "p with t for x"
- substitution also defined on terms themselves: " $t[t/x]$ "

Example

x is existentially quantified in the following predicates

- "there is a number x which is less than $x + 1$ "
- $\exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet x < x + 1$
- "there is a number x which is equal to 3"
- $\exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet x = 3$
- "there is a number x which is equal to $x + 1$ "
- $\exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet x = x + 1$

truth-tables are useless for quantifiers

bound variables may range over sets that are simply too large

Substitution

- bound variable must sometimes be renamed before subst
- $\forall x: \mathbb{Z} \bullet \exists y: \mathbb{Z} \bullet x \neq y$
- this is true for all numbers, so why not specialise it?
- true for y ?
 $\exists y: \mathbb{Z} \bullet y \neq y$
- nonsense! — what's gone wrong?
- free variable capture
- free variable y enters scope of $\exists y: \mathbb{Z} \bullet \dots$
- becomes a bound variable

Validity and Satisfiability

- any predicate has n arguments
- a 0-place predicate is a proposition
- if p is an n -place predicate, and values c_1, \dots, c_n are assigned to each of the individual variables, result is a proposition
- p is **valid** if it's true for every choice of arguments
- p is **satisfiable** if it's true in some, but not necessarily all, choices of arguments
- p is **satisfied** by values c_1, \dots, c_n that make it true
- compare valid, satisfiable, and unsatisfiable predicates with tautologies, contingencies, and contradictions

Examples: validity, satisfiability, and unsatisfiability

1. the following predicate on x is **valid**:

$$\exists y : \mathbb{N} \bullet y > x$$

for any natural number x , there exists a larger one

2. the following predicate is **satisfiable** but not **valid**:

$$\exists y : \mathbb{N} \bullet y < x$$

for some natural numbers x there exist smaller ones, but not for the number 0

3. the following predicate is **unsatisfiable**

$$\exists y : \mathbb{N} \bullet y < x \wedge y > x$$

for no natural number can we find a second one that is both larger and smaller than it

Properties of Quantifiers

- are these two predicates equivalent?

$$\exists x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q \quad (\exists x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet q)$$

- does one imply the other?
- are they at all related?

Reasoning with universal quantification I

- suppose $p(x)$ is true for every possible value of x in s
- otherwise, $\forall x : s \bullet p(x)$ is false
- this gives us an argument: **generalisation**

$$x \in s, p(x) \vdash \forall x : s \bullet p(x)$$

- **argument is valid only if premises are true for every x**

- **x must be arbitrary**

- **valid:** $x \in \text{Integer}, x + 1 > x \vdash \forall x : \text{Integer} \bullet x + 1 > x$

- **fallacy:** $x \in \text{Integer}, x = 5 \vdash \forall x : \text{Integer} \bullet x = 5$

Reasoning with universal quantification II

- if $\forall x : s \bullet p(x)$ is true, then we can choose any term t in s , and $p(t)$ will be true
- this gives us an argument: **specialisation**

$$\forall x : s \bullet p(x), t \in s \vdash p(t)$$
- $\forall x \in \text{Integer} \bullet x^2 \geq 0, y - 1 \in \text{Integer} \vdash (y - 1)^2 \geq 0$

De Morgan's laws for quantifiers

can this predicate be simplified at all?

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg \forall x : \mathbb{N} \bullet x > 3 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{universal quantification as conjunction} \\ & \neg(0 > 3 \wedge 1 > 3 \wedge 2 > 3 \wedge 3 > 3 \wedge \dots) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{by De Morgan's Law} \\ & \neg(0 > 3) \vee \neg(1 > 3) \vee \neg(2 > 3) \vee \neg(3 > 3) \vee \dots \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{existential quantification as disjunction} \\ & \exists x : \mathbb{N} \bullet \neg(x > 3) \end{aligned}$$

generalised form of De Morgan's Laws for generalised conjunction and disjunction operators

$$\begin{aligned} (\neg \forall x : S \bullet p) & \Leftrightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet \neg p) \\ (\neg \exists x : S \bullet p) & \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet \neg p) \end{aligned}$$

Reasoning with existential quantification I

- suppose $p(x)$ is true for at least one element of s

$$\exists x : s \bullet p(x)$$
- otherwise, $\exists x : s \bullet p(x)$ is false
- this gives us an argument

$$t \in s, p(t) \vdash \exists x : s \bullet p(x)$$

- **existential introduction**

Example: reasoning with predicates

- suppose that *Person* is the set of all people
- suppose that if you don't love someone, then you hate them
- is it true that there is no one who loves everybody?
- we can draw some conclusions from supposing it to be true
- first, formalise it as

$$\neg \exists x : \text{Person} \bullet \forall y : \text{Person} \bullet x \text{ loves } y$$

Let's calculate

- $\neg \exists x : \text{Person} \bullet \forall y : \text{Person} \bullet x \text{ loves } y$
- \Leftrightarrow by De Morgan
- $\forall x : \text{Person} \bullet \neg \forall y : \text{Person} \bullet x \text{ loves } y$
- \Leftrightarrow by De Morgan
- $\forall x : \text{Person} \bullet \exists y : \text{Person} \bullet \neg (x \text{ loves } y)$
- \Leftrightarrow by definition
- $\forall x : \text{Person} \bullet \exists y : \text{Person} \bullet x \text{ hates } y.$

so, our sentence means the same as "everybody hates someone"

Example: De Morgan for Quantifiers

- for every pair of integers x and y , there exists a z such that $x + z = y$
- this can be formalised as
- $\forall x : \mathbb{Z} \bullet \forall y : \mathbb{Z} \bullet \exists z : \mathbb{Z} \bullet x + z = y$
- or more simply as
- $\forall x : \mathbb{Z}; y : \mathbb{Z} \bullet \exists z : \mathbb{Z} \bullet x + z = y$
- or even more simply as
- $\forall x, y : \mathbb{Z} \bullet \exists z : \mathbb{Z} \bullet x + z = y$
- where \mathbb{Z} is the set of Integers
- predicate is not true for the positive integers \mathbb{N}

Let's calculate

- $\neg \forall x, y : \mathbb{N} \bullet \exists z : \mathbb{N} \bullet x + z = y$
- \Leftrightarrow by De Morgan
- $\exists x, y : \mathbb{N} \bullet \neg \exists z : \mathbb{N} \bullet x + z = y$
- \Leftrightarrow by De Morgan
- $\exists x, y : \mathbb{N} \bullet \forall z : \mathbb{N} \bullet \neg (x + z = y)$
- \Leftrightarrow by definition
- $\exists x, y : \mathbb{N} \bullet \forall z : \mathbb{N} \bullet x + z \neq y$

we can prove it more easily in this form

Finishing it off

- how do we prove this?
- $\exists x, y : \mathbb{N} \bullet \forall z : \mathbb{N} \bullet x + z \neq y$
- **use existential introduction!**
- find values for x and y that make the following true
- $\forall z : \mathbb{N} \bullet x + z \neq y$
- if we select 1 for x and 0 for y , we have
- $\forall z : \mathbb{N} \bullet 1 + z \neq 0$

which is a property of every natural number

- so, given this property, we've proved that

$$\neg \forall x, y : \mathbb{N} \bullet \exists z : \mathbb{N} \bullet x + z = y$$

Example: disproving a universal quantification

- show the following statement is false

$$\forall x : \mathbb{Z} \mid x > 0 \bullet x^2 - 3 * x + 2 \geq 0$$

- a single counterexample will suffice
- an appropriate value is $\frac{3}{2}$
- we have that

$$\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 - 3 * \left(\frac{3}{2}\right) + 2 = -\frac{1}{4} < 0$$

Proving and disproving universal quantifications

- **proving** " $\forall x : S \bullet p$ " can be quite demanding
- we need an argument that proves p whatever the value of x
- not enough to give some examples of values of x that satisfy p
- **disproving** " $\forall x : S \bullet p$ " is much easier
- it's the same as proving " $\exists x : S \bullet \neg p$ "
- we need only a single y for which p is false
- y provides a counterexample to " $\forall x : S \bullet p$ "

Moving quantifier scopes

- if a predicate occurs in a disjunction or conjunction within the scope of a quantifier, and none of its variables are bound by the quantifier, then it can be removed from the scope of the quantifier
- let N stand for a predicate in which x doesn't occur free
- we have the following equivalences

$$(\forall x : S \bullet p \wedge N) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x : S \bullet p) \wedge N)$$

$$(\forall x : S \bullet p \vee N) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x : S \bullet p) \vee N)$$

$$(\exists x : S \bullet p \wedge N) \Leftrightarrow ((\exists x : S \bullet p) \wedge N)$$

$$(\exists x : S \bullet p \vee N) \Leftrightarrow ((\exists x : S \bullet p) \vee N)$$

Moving quantifiers around

- now suppose that the variable bound by a quantifier occurs in both predicates of a disjunction or conjunction
- there are laws for the quantifiers that are analogous to the associativity laws for disjunction and conjunction

the distributivity laws for the quantifiers

$$(\forall x : S \bullet p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x : S \bullet p) \wedge (\forall x : S \bullet q))$$

$$(\exists x : S \bullet p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow ((\exists x : S \bullet p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q))$$

counterexample

- there's a number that's even and there's a number that's odd
- but there isn't a number that's both even and odd

Example: distributivity

- existential quantification doesn't distribute through conjunction

$$\neg ((\exists x : S \bullet p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow ((\exists x : S \bullet p) \wedge (\exists x : S \bullet q)))$$

Moving quantifiers around

- now suppose that the variable bound by a quantifier occurs in both predicates of a disjunction or conjunction
- there are laws for the quantifiers that are analogous to the associativity laws for disjunction and conjunction

the distributivity laws for the quantifiers

$$(\forall x : S \bullet p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x : S \bullet p) \wedge (\forall x : S \bullet q))$$

$$(\exists x : S \bullet p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow ((\exists x : S \bullet p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q))$$

counterexample

- there's a number that's even and there's a number that's odd
- but there isn't a number that's both even and odd

Example: distributivity

- existential quantification doesn't distribute through conjunction

$$\neg ((\exists x : S \bullet p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow ((\exists x : S \bullet p) \wedge (\exists x : S \bullet q)))$$

Example: distributivity

- universal quantification doesn't distribute over disjunction

$$\neg ((\forall x : S \bullet p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x : S \bullet p) \vee (\forall x : S \bullet q)))$$

counterexample

- every number is either even or odd
- but it's not true that either every number is even, or every number is odd

Semi-distributivity

- although universal quantification doesn't distribute through disjunction to yield an equivalent predicate, it does yield a stronger one

$$(\forall x : S \bullet p) \vee (\forall x : S \bullet q) \Rightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet p \vee q)$$

- although existential quantification doesn't distribute through conjunction to yield an equivalent predicate, it does yield a weaker one

$$(\exists x : S \bullet p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p) \wedge (\exists x : S \bullet q)$$

Identities and semi-identities

1. $(\forall x : S \bullet p(x)) \Rightarrow p(c)$
2. $p(c) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p(x))$
3. $(\forall x : S \bullet \neg p) \Leftrightarrow (\neg \exists x : S \bullet p)$
4. $(\forall x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p)$
5. $(\exists x : S \bullet \neg p) \Leftrightarrow (\neg \forall x : S \bullet p)$
6. $(\forall x : S \bullet p \wedge N) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet p) \wedge N$
7. $(\forall x : S \bullet p \vee N) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet p) \vee N$

8. $(\forall x : S \bullet p) \wedge (\forall x : S \bullet q) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet p \wedge q)$
9. $(\forall x : S \bullet p) \vee (\forall x : S \bullet q) \Rightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet p \vee q)$
10. $(\exists x : S \bullet p \wedge N) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p) \wedge N$
11. $(\exists x : S \bullet p \vee N) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p) \vee N$
12. $(\exists x : S \bullet p \wedge q) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p) \wedge (\exists x : S \bullet q)$
13. $(\exists x : S \bullet p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p \vee q)$

Example: multiple quantifiers

- the order of universal and existential quantifiers is significant
- "no matter what value x in S is chosen, a value y in T can be found such that..."

$$\forall x : S \bullet \exists y : T \bullet \dots$$

- value of y may depend on value of x
- "no matter what number we choose, there's a larger one"

$$\forall x : \mathbb{N} \bullet \exists y : \mathbb{N} \bullet y > x$$

Now change the order of the quantifiers

- "a value y in T can be chosen so that no matter what value x in S is chosen..."

$$\exists y : T \bullet \forall x : S \bullet \dots$$

- since y is bound first, the value of y must be specified independently of the value of x
- "there's a number that's greater than every other number"

$$\exists y : \mathbb{N} \bullet \forall x : \mathbb{N} \bullet y > x$$

- which is true and which is false?

Predicate Calculus Problems

Jim Woodcock
University of York
October 2006

1. Symbolise the following:
 - (a) "Snakes are reptiles."
 - (b) "Snakes are not all poisonous."
 - (c) "Children are present."
 - (d) "Executives all have secretaries."
 - (e) "Only executives have secretaries."
 - (f) "Only community charge payers may vote in local elections."
 - (g) "Employees may use only the goods lift."
 - (h) "Only employees may use the goods lift."
 - (i) "All that glisters is not gold."
 - (j) "All estate agents are not the same."
 - (k) "Not all estate agents are the same."
 - (l) "None but the brave deserve the fair."
 - (m) "Not every visitor stayed for dinner."
 - (n) "Not any visitor stayed for dinner."
 - (o) "Nothing in the house escaped the children."
 - (p) "Some students are both intelligent and hardworking."
 - (q) "No coat is waterproof unless it has been specially treated."
 - (r) "Some medicines are dangerous only if taken in excessive amounts."
 - (s) "All fruits and vegetables are wholesome and nourishing."
 - (t) "Everything enjoyable is either immoral, illegal, or fattening."
 - (u) "A lecturer is a good teacher if, and only if, he is both well-informed and entertaining."
 - (v) "Only university lecturers and firemen are both vastly underpaid and indispensable."
 - (w) "Not every actor who is famous is talented."
 - (x) "It simply isn't true that every watch will keep good time if and only if it is wound regularly and not abused."
 - (y) "Not every person who talks a great deal has a great deal to say."
 - (z) "No car that is over ten years old will be mended if it is severely damaged."

2. Symbolise the following predicates about the nature of elephants.

- "Any elephant is attractive, if it is neat and well-groomed."
- "Some elephants are gentle and have been well trained."
- "Some elephants are gentle only if they have been well groomed by every student."
- "Some elephants called Jumbo are gentle if they have been well trained."
- "Any elephant is gentle that has been well trained."
- "Any elephant called Jumbo that is gentle has been well trained."
- "No elephant is gentle unless it has been well trained."
- "Any elephant is gentle if it has been well trained."
- "Any elephant has been well trained if it is gentle."
- "Any elephant is gentle if and only if it has been well trained."
- "Gentle elephants have all been well trained."
- "All elephants are called either Jumbo or Dumbo."
- "Every student must ride to graduation on an elephant."

4. Symbolise the following predicates about the numbers.

- "There's a number between 3 and 5."
- "Given any number there's a smaller one."
- "There's no biggest number."
- "Addition is commutative."
- "There are two numbers which are such that their product is less than their sum."
- "No cube can be expressed as the sum of two other cubes (unless at least one of the three numbers is zero)."
- "If $n > 2$, the equation $x^n + y^n = z^n$ cannot be solved in integers x, y, z , with x, y, z all non-zero."

5. Identify the free and bound variables in each of the following.

- $(\forall x : T \bullet A(x)) \Rightarrow (\exists y : U \bullet B(x,y))$
- $A(x,y) \wedge (\exists x : T \bullet B(y)) \Rightarrow (\forall y : U ; z : V \bullet C(x,y,z))$
- $(\forall x : T \bullet \exists y : U \bullet A(y,x) \wedge (\forall y : V \bullet C(y))) \Rightarrow B(x,y)$
- $\forall x : T ; y : U \bullet A(z) \Rightarrow B(z)$
- $A(x) \Rightarrow (B(y) \Rightarrow (\exists x : T \bullet C(y) \Rightarrow (\forall y : U \bullet D(x))))$

6. In each of the following, perform the intended substitutions in the corresponding predicates in the last exercise, if the substitutions are legal.

- Substitute $f(x,z)$ for x .
- Substitute z for x , and $g(y,z)$ for y .
- Substitute y for x , and $f(x,y)$ for y .
- Substitute x for z .
- Substitute $f(y)$ for x , and $f(y)$ for y .

7. Express the following as faithfully as possible, using a predicate that starts with a universal or existential quantifier.

- Every noise appals me.
- Something wicked this way comes.
- I have a strange affinity.
- Their candles are all out.
- He has no children.
- Murders have been performed.
- x is a tale told by an idiot.
- None of woman born shall harm Macbeth.

8. Formalise the following propositions. For example, "everyone is married" would be formalised as

$$\forall x: \text{Person} \bullet \exists y: \text{Person} \bullet \text{married}(x,y)$$

- There is someone who is married to everyone else.
- For every integer x there is an integer y such that the sum of x and y is 0.
- There is a number y , such that for every number x , the sum of x and y is 0.
- No x is less than 0.

9. Find a predicate p in which x occurs, and for which $\forall x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p$ and $\exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p$ are both false.

10. Find a predicate p in which x occurs, and for which $\forall x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p$ and $\exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p$ are both true.

11. Let the following be defined:

- $N(x)$ " x is nonnegative"
 $E(x)$ " x is even"
 $O(x)$ " x is odd"
 $P(x)$ " x is prime"

Formalise the following:

- There is an even integer.
- Every integer is either even or odd.
- All prime integers are nonnegative.
- The only even prime is two.
- There is one and only one even prime.
- Not all integers are odd.
- Not all primes are odd.
- If an integer isn't even, then it's odd.

12. Give a counterexample to the assertion

$$(\exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p) \wedge (\exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet q) \vdash \exists x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p \wedge q$$

13. Give a counterexample to the assertion

$$\forall x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p \vee q \vdash (\forall x: \mathbb{N} \bullet p) \vee (\forall x: \mathbb{N} \bullet q)$$

14. Let A be a two-dimensional integer array with 20 rows (indexed from 1 to 20), and 30 columns (indexed from 1 to 30). Using the predicate calculus, make the following assertions:

- All entries of A are nonnegative.
- All entries of the 4th and 15th rows are positive.
- Some entries of A are zero.
- The entries of A are sorted into row-major order; that is, the entries are in order within rows, and every entry of the i th row is less than or equal to every entry of the $(i+1)$ th row.

15. There is another quantifier

$$\exists_1 x : S \bullet p$$

which means "there is a unique x in S , such that p holds".

- (a) Define this quantifier in terms of universal and existential quantification.
- (b) Universal quantification is a generalisation of conjunction; existential quantification is a generalisation of disjunction. Of what combinator of propositions is the unique quantifier a generalisation?
16. Prove the following laws about quantifiers:
- (a) $(\exists x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet q)$
- (b) $(\forall x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow ((\forall x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet q))$
- (c) $((\exists x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet q)) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q)$
- (d) $(\forall x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow N) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow N$
- (e) $(\exists x : S \bullet N \Rightarrow p) \Leftrightarrow N \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet p)$
- (f) $(\exists x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow N) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow N$

Predicate Calculus Solutions

Jim Woodcock
University of York
October 2006

1. Symbolise the following:

- (a) $\forall s : \text{Snake} \bullet \text{Reptile}(s)$
- (b) $\exists s : \text{Snake} \bullet \neg \text{Poisonous}(s)$
- (c) $\exists c : \text{Person} \mid \text{Child}(c) \bullet \text{Present}(c)$
- (d) $\forall e : \text{Person} \mid \text{Executive}(e) \bullet \text{HasSecretary}(e)$
- (e) $\forall e : \text{Person} \mid \text{HasSecretary}(e) \bullet \text{Executive}(e)$
- (f) $\forall p : \text{Person} \mid \text{Vote}(p) \bullet \text{PollTax}(p)$
- (g) $\forall p : \text{Person}; l : \text{Lift} \mid \text{Employee}(p) \wedge p \text{ UsesLift } l \bullet \text{Goods}(l)$
- (h) $\forall p : \text{Person}; l : \text{Lift} \mid p \text{ UsesLift } l \wedge \text{Goods}(l) \bullet \text{Employee}(p)$
- (i) $\neg \forall t : \text{Thing} \mid \text{Glisters}(t) \bullet \text{Gold}(t)$

- (j) $\forall p, q : \text{Person} \mid \text{EstateAgent}(p) \wedge \text{EstateAgent}(q) \bullet p \neq q$
- (k) $\neg \forall p, q : \text{Person} \mid \text{EstateAgent}(p) \wedge \text{EstateAgent}(q) \bullet p = q$

- (l) $\forall p : \text{Person} \mid \neg \text{Brave}(p) \bullet \neg \text{DeservesFair}(p)$

- (m) $\neg \forall p : \text{Person} \mid \text{Visitor}(p) \bullet \text{Dined}(p)$

- (n) $\neg \exists p : \text{Person} \mid \text{Visitor}(p) \bullet \text{Dined}(p)$

- (o) $\neg \exists t : \text{Thing} \mid \text{InHouse}(t) \bullet \text{EscapedKids}(t)$

- (p) $\exists s : \text{Student} \bullet \text{Intelligent}(s) \wedge \text{HardWorking}(s)$

- (q) $\neg \exists c : \text{Coat} \mid \text{Waterproof}(c) \bullet \neg \text{Treated}(c)$

- (r) $\exists m : \text{Medicine} \bullet \text{Dangerous}(m) \Rightarrow \text{TooMuch}(m)$

- (s) $\forall x : \text{Food} \mid \text{Fruit}(x) \vee \text{Veg}(x) \bullet \text{Wholesome}(x) \wedge \text{Nourishing}(x)$

- (t) $\forall t : \text{Thing} \mid \text{Enjoyable}(t) \bullet$

$\text{Immoral}(t) \vee \text{Illegal}(t) \vee \text{Fattening}(t)$

- (u) $\forall l : \text{Person} \mid \text{Lecturer}(l) \bullet$

$\text{GoodTeacher}(l) \Leftrightarrow \text{WellInformed}(l) \wedge \text{Entertaining}(l)$

- (v) $\forall p : \text{Person} \mid \text{VastlyUnderpaid}(p) \wedge \text{Indispensable}(p) \bullet$

$\text{Lecturer}(p) \vee \text{Fireman}(p)$

- (w) $\neg \forall a : \text{Actor} \mid \text{Famous}(a) \bullet \text{Talented}(a)$

- (x) $\neg \forall w : \text{Watch} \bullet$

$\text{GoodTimekeeper}(w) \Leftrightarrow \text{RegularlyWound}(w) \wedge \neg \text{Abused}(w)$

- (y) $\neg \forall p : \text{Person} \mid \text{TalksALot}(p) \bullet \text{SaysAGreatDeal}(p)$

- (z) $\neg \exists c : \text{Car} \mid \text{Age}(c) > 10 \wedge \text{SeverelyDamaged}(c) \bullet$

$\text{ToBeMended}(c)$

2. (a) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{Neat}(e) \wedge \text{WellGroomed}(e) \bullet \text{Attractive}(e)$
 (b) $\exists e: \text{Elephant} \bullet \text{Gentle}(e) \wedge \text{WellTrained}(e)$
 (c) $\exists e: \text{Elephant} \bullet \text{Gentle}(e) \Rightarrow (\forall s: \text{Student} \bullet s \text{ HasWellGroomed } e)$
 (d) $\exists e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{Jumbo}(e) \bullet \text{WellTrained}(e) \Rightarrow \text{gentle}(e)$
 (e) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{WellTrained}(e) \bullet \text{Gentle}(e)$
 (f) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{Jumbo}(e) \wedge \text{WellTrained}(e) \bullet \text{Gentle}(e)$
 (g) $\neg \exists e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{Gentle}(e) \bullet \neg \text{WellTrained}(e)$
 (h) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{WellTrained}(e) \bullet \text{Gentle}(e)$
 (i) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{Gentle}(e) \bullet \text{WellTrained}(e)$
 (j) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \bullet \text{Gentle}(e) \Leftrightarrow \text{WellTrained}(e)$
 (k) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \mid \text{Gentle}(e) \bullet \text{WellTrained}(e)$
 (l) $\forall e: \text{Elephant} \bullet \text{Jumbo}(e) \vee \text{Dumbo}(e)$
 (m) $\forall s: \text{Student} \bullet (\exists e: \text{Elephant} \bullet s \text{ RidesToGraduationOn } e)$

3. (a) $\forall t: \text{Time} \bullet (\exists u: \text{Time} \bullet u \text{ isbefore } t)$
 (b) $\forall t, u: \text{Time} \mid t \neq u \bullet t \text{ isbefore } u \vee u \text{ isbefore } t$
 (c) $\neg \exists t: \text{Time} \bullet (\forall u: \text{Time} \bullet t \text{ isbefore } u)$
 (d) $\neg \exists t: \text{Time} \bullet (\forall u: \text{Time} \bullet u \text{ isbefore } t)$
 (e) $\forall t, u: \text{Time} \mid u \text{ isafter } t \bullet t \text{ isbefore } u$

4. (a) $\exists n: \mathbb{Z} \bullet 3 < x < 5$
 (b) $\forall n: \mathbb{Z} \bullet (\exists m: \mathbb{Z} \bullet m < n)$
 (c) $\neg \exists n: \mathbb{Z} \bullet (\forall m: \mathbb{Z} \bullet m \leq n)$
 (d) $\forall x, y: \mathbb{Z} \bullet x + y = y + x$
 (e) $\exists m, n: \mathbb{Z} \bullet m * n < m + n$
 (f) $\neg \exists x, y, z: \mathbb{Z} \mid x \neq 0 \wedge y \neq 0 \wedge z \neq 0 \bullet x^3 = y^3 + z^3$
 (g) $\forall n: \mathbb{N} \mid n > 2 \bullet (\neg \exists x, y, z: \mathbb{Z} \mid x \neq 0 \wedge y \neq 0 \wedge z \neq 0 \bullet x^n = y^n + z^n)$
5. (a) $(\forall \bar{x}: T \bullet A(\bar{x})) \Rightarrow (\exists \bar{y}: U \bullet B(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))$
 (b) $A(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \wedge (\exists \bar{z}: T \bullet B(\bar{z})) \Rightarrow (\forall \bar{y}: U; \bar{z}: V \bullet C(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}))$
 (c) $(\forall \bar{x}: T \bullet \exists \bar{y}: U \bullet A(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \wedge (\forall \bar{y}: V \bullet C(\bar{y}))) \Rightarrow B(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$
 (d) $\forall \bar{x}: T; \bar{y}: U \bullet A(\bar{z}) \Rightarrow B(\bar{z})$
 (e) $A(\bar{x}) \Rightarrow (B(\bar{y}) \Rightarrow (\exists \bar{x}: T \bullet C(\bar{y}) \Rightarrow (\forall \bar{y}: U \bullet D(\bar{x}))))$
6. (a) $(\forall x: T \bullet A(x)) \Rightarrow (\exists y: U \bullet B(\underline{f(x, z)}, y))$
 (b) *This substitution is not legal, since there would be variable capture.*
 (c) $(\forall x: T \bullet \exists y: U \bullet A(y, x) \wedge (\forall y: V \bullet C(y))) \Rightarrow B(\underline{y}, \underline{f(x, y)})$
 (d) *This substitution is not legal, since there would be variable capture.*
 (e) *This substitution is not legal, since there would be variable capture.*

7. (a) $\forall n: \text{Noise} \bullet \text{AppalsMet}(n)$
 (b) $\exists t: \text{Thing} \mid \text{Wicked}(t) \bullet \text{ThisWayComes}(t)$
 (c) $\exists a: \text{Affirmity} \mid \text{Strange}(a) \bullet \text{Have}(a)$
 (d) $\forall c: \text{TheirCanales} \bullet \text{Out}(c)$
 (e) $\forall p: \text{Person} \mid \text{Child}(p) \bullet \neg \text{His}(p)$
 (f) $\exists m: \text{Murder} \bullet \text{Performed}(m)$
 (g) $\exists i: \text{Person} \mid \text{Idiot}(i) \bullet i \text{ told } x$
 (h) $\forall p: \text{Person} \mid \text{OfWomanBorn}(p) \bullet \neg p \text{ ShallHarm Macbeth}$

11. (a) $\exists x: \mathbb{Z} \bullet E(x)$
 (b) $\forall x: \mathbb{Z} \bullet E(x) \vee O(x)$
 (c) $\forall x: \mathbb{N} \mid P(x) \bullet N(x)$
 (d) $\forall x: \mathbb{N} \mid E(x) \wedge P(x) \bullet x = 2$
 (e) $\exists x: \mathbb{N} \mid E(x) \wedge P(x) \bullet (\forall y: \mathbb{N} \mid E(y) \wedge P(y) \bullet y = x)$
 (f) $\neg \forall x: \mathbb{Z} \bullet O(x)$
 (g) $\neg \forall x: \mathbb{Z} \mid P(x) \bullet O(x)$
 (h) $\forall x: \mathbb{Z} \mid \neg E(x) \bullet O(x)$

8. (a) $\exists p: \text{Person} \bullet (\forall q: \text{Person} \mid q \neq p \bullet \text{married}(p, q))$
 (b) $\forall x: \mathbb{Z} \bullet (\exists y: \mathbb{Z} \bullet x + y = 0)$
 (c) $\exists y: \mathbb{Z} \bullet (\forall x: \mathbb{Z} \bullet x + y = 0)$
 (d) $\neg \exists x: \mathbb{Z} \bullet x < 0$

9. $x \neq x$

10. $x = x$

12. Take p to be "x is even", and q to be "x is odd".

13. take p and q as in the answer to part 12.

14. (a) $\forall i, j: \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 20 \wedge 1 \leq j \leq 30 \bullet A_{ij} \geq 0$
 (b) $\forall j: \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 30 \bullet A_{4j} > 0 \wedge A_{15j} > 0$
 (c) $\exists i, j: \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 20 \wedge 1 \leq j \leq 30 \bullet A_{ij} = 0$
 (d) $\forall i: \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 20 \bullet \forall j: \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq j < 29 \bullet A_{ij} \leq A_{ij+1}$
 $\forall i: \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq i < 19 \bullet \forall j: \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq j \leq 30 \bullet A_{ij} \leq A_{i+1j}$

15. (a) $\exists x : s \bullet p(x) \wedge (\forall y : s \bullet p(y) \Rightarrow y = x)$
 (b) It is a generalisation of exclusive-or.

(b) Let x be an arbitrary member of S , and consider first:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\forall x : S \bullet p) \\ \Rightarrow & \text{specialisation} \\ & (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\forall x : S \bullet p) \\ \Rightarrow & \text{specialisation} \\ & (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge p \\ \Rightarrow & \text{propositional calculus} \\ & q \\ \Rightarrow & \text{generalisation} \\ & \forall x : S \bullet q \end{aligned}$$

We've proved something of the form $(s \wedge t) \Rightarrow u$, which is, by 'exportation', equivalent to $(s \Rightarrow t) \Rightarrow u$. Hence we have shown the required result.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \\ & ((\forall x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\forall x : S \bullet q)) \end{aligned}$$

16. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{implication} \\ & \exists x : S \bullet \neg p \vee q \\ \Leftrightarrow & \exists\text{-}\vee \text{ distribution} \\ & (\exists x : S \bullet \neg p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{generalised De Morgan} \\ & \neg(\forall x : S \bullet p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{distribution} \\ & (\forall x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet q) \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow (\exists x : S \bullet q) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{implication} \\ & \neg(\exists x : S \bullet p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{generalised De Morgan} \\ & (\forall x : S \bullet \neg p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q) \\ \Rightarrow & \text{for all implies exists, with monotonicity} \\ & (\exists x : S \bullet \neg p) \vee (\exists x : S \bullet q) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \exists\text{-}\vee \text{ distribution} \\ & \exists x : S \bullet \neg p \vee q \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{implication} \\ & \exists x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow q \end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \forall x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{implication} \\
 & \forall x : S \bullet \neg p \vee N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{no capture on } \vee \\
 & (\forall x : S \bullet \neg p) \vee N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{generalised De Morgan} \\
 & \neg(\exists x : S \bullet p) \vee N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{implication} \\
 & (\exists x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow N
 \end{aligned}$$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \exists x : S \bullet N \Rightarrow p \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{implication} \\
 & \exists x : S \bullet \neg N \vee p \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{no capture on } \vee \\
 & \neg N \vee \exists x : S \bullet p \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{implication} \\
 & N \Rightarrow \exists x : S \bullet p
 \end{aligned}$$

(f)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \exists x : S \bullet p \Rightarrow N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{implication} \\
 & \exists x : S \bullet \neg p \vee N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{no capture on } \vee \\
 & (\exists x : S \bullet \neg p) \vee N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{generalised De Morgan} \\
 & \neg(\forall x : S \bullet p) \vee N \\
 \Leftrightarrow & \textit{implication} \\
 & (\forall x : S \bullet p) \Rightarrow N
 \end{aligned}$$